EXHIBIT A TO CMO 4

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

IN RE: DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION)	MDL No. 1: 10 md 2197
	j –	SHORT FORM COMPLAINT
)	FOR DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC.
)	ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS
)	LIABILITY LITIGATION
This applies to:		
v. DePuy Orthopaedics, Inc. e	t al.	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
Plaintiff(s),		
-against-		
DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC., DePUY INC., DEPUY INTERNATIONAL LIMIT JOHNSON & JOHNSON, JOHNSON & JOHNSON SERVICES, INC. JOHNSON & JOHNSON INTERNATION	ED,	
Defendants		

ABBREVIATED SHORT FORM COMPLAINT FOR DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ASR HIP IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

1. Plaintiff(s),	, states and brings this c	civil action before the			
Court for the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio as a related					
action in the matter entitled IN RE: DePUY ORTHOPAEDICS, INC. ASR HIP					
IMPLANT PRODUCTS LIABILITY LI	TIGATION, MDL No. 21	97. Plaintiff is filing			
this short form complaint as permitted by	y Case Management Order	No.4 of this Court.			
<u>ALLEGATI</u>	ONS AS TO VENUE				
2. Venue of this case is appr	opriate in the	District Court of			
State of Plaintiff states the	at but for the Order permi	tting direct filing into			
the Northern District of Ohio pursuant to	Case Management Order	No 4, Plaintiff would			
have filed in the Distric	t Court of the State of				
Therefore, Plaintiff respectfully requests	that at the time of transfe	er of this action back to			
the trial court for further proceedings tha	t this case be transferred to	o the above referenced			
District Court.					
3. Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of					
and claims damages as set forth below. Plaintiff's Spouse, is					
a resident and citizen of, and claims damages as a result of loss of					
consortium. [Cross out Spousal Claim if Not Applicable]					
4. Plaintiff was born on					
5. Plaintiff is filing this case in a representative capacity as the					
	of the	having been duly			
appointed as the	by the	Court of			
. [Cross out if Not Applicable] A copy of the Letters of					

Administration for a wrongful death claim is annexed hereto if such letters are required

for the commencement of such a claim by the Probate, Surrogate or other appropriate court of the jurisdiction of the decedent.

	Plaintiff claims da	amages as a result of:				
	injury to he	erself/himself				
	injury to the person represented					
	wrongful death					
	survivorship action					
	economic loss					
	loss of services					
	loss of consortium					
	ALLEGATIONS A	S TO INJURIES				
6.	Plaintiff was implant	ted with a DePuy ASR	hip implant on his/her			
hip	on or about	(date) at the	(medical center), in			
	, by Dr	•				
7.	Plaintiff was implant	ted with a DePuy ASR	hip implant on his/her			
hip	on or about	(date) at the	(medical center), in			
	, by Dr	. [Cross out	if not bilateral ASR hips]			
8.	On or about	(date), Plaintiff s	suffered the following personal			
and economic	injur(ies) as a result o	of the implantation with	n the ASR hip implant:			
··			;and			

9.	Plaintiff had the ASR hip implant explanted on, at
	(medical center and address) by Dr or Plaintiff will
be having the	ASR hip explanted on or about
	, or Plaintiff has not yet scheduled an explantation of
the ASR hip is	mplant. [Cross out inapplicable sections]
10.	Plaintiff had the ASR hip implant explanted on, at
	(medical center and address) by Dr or Plaintiff will
be having the	ASR hip explanted on or about
11.	Plaintiff(s) has suffered injuries as a result of implantation and
explantation o	f the DePuy ASR hip implant manufactured by defendants as shall be fully
set forth in Pla	aintiff's Fact Sheet and other responsive documents provided to the
defendants and	d are incorporated by reference herein.
12.	At the time of implantation with the ASR hip implant,
the Plaintiff re	esided at
	[Address, city, state, zip]
13.	The defendants by their actions or inactions, proximately caused
Plaintiff's inju	ries.
14.	The plaintiff could not have known that the injuries he/she suffered were
as a result of a	defect in the ASR hip implant until after the date the device was recalled
from the mark	et and the plaintiff came to learn of the recall.

Case: 1:10-md-02197-DAK Doc #: 124-1 Filed: 04/06/11 4 of 8. PageID #: 589

- 15. The plaintiff could not have known that he/she was injured by excessive levels of chromium and cobalt until after the date he/she had his/her blood drawn and he/she was advised of the results of said blood-work.
- 16. As a result of the injuries Plaintiff(s) sustained, he/she/they are entitled to recover compensatory damages for pain and suffering and emotional distress (if applicable) and for economic loss as well as punitive damages.

ALLEGATIONS AS TO DEFENDANTS SPECIFIC ALLEGATIONS AND THEORIES OF RECOVERY

17. The following claims and allegations are asserted by Plaintiff(s) and are herein adopted by reference:

	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENCE);
_	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENCE PER SE);
_	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-DEFECTIVE DESIGN)
	FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-MANUFACTURING DEFECT);
	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (STRICT PRODUCTS LIABILITY-FAILURE TO WARN);
·	SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY);
	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF WARRANTY AS TO MERCHANTABILITY)
	EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION (BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTIES);

	NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION);
	TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUDULENT CONCEALMENT);
	ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENT MISREPRESENTATION);
	TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION (FRAUD AND DECEIT);
_	THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (UNFAIR AND DECEPTIVE TRADE PRACTICES UNDER STATE LAW);
	FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (MISREPRESENTATION BY OMISSION);
	FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (CONSTRUCTIVE FRAUD);
	SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (NEGLIGENT INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS;
	SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS);
_	EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (GROSS NEGLIGENCE/MALICE);
_	NINETEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION (LOSS OF CONSORTIUM);
	TWENTIETH CAUSE OF ACTION (PUNITIVE DAMAGES)
_	TWENTY-FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (MEDICAL MONITORING)
_	TWENTY-SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (VIOLATION OF APPLICABLE STATE CONSUMER FRAUD STATUTE) – SPECIFY THE STATUTE ALLEGED
	TWENTY-THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(RESTITUTION OF ALL PURCHASE COSTS AND DISGORGEMENT OF ALL PROFITS FROM MONIES THAT PLAINTIFF INCURRED IN PURCHASE OF THE HIP IMPLANT)

CTION:			

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff(s) pray for judgment against Defendants as follows:

- 1. For compensatory damages requested and according to proof;
- 2. For punitive or exemplary damages against Defendants;
- 3. For all applicable statutory damages of the state whose laws will govern this action;
- 4. For medical monitoring, whether denominated as damages or in the form of equitable relief;
- 5. For an award of attorneys' fees and costs;
- 6. For prejudgment interest and the costs of suit; and

Case: 1:10-md-02197-DAK Doc #: 124-1 Filed: 04/06/11 8 of 8. PageID #: 593

7. For such other and further relief as this Court may deem just and proper;

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff(s) hereby demand a trial by jury as to all claims in this action.

		Counsel for Plaintiff(s)		
	-			
	-			
Date:				